DO WE ROB PETER TO PAY PAUL?

This is a conversation between two Christian believers.

- A. ---Stands for one who believes that Peter was an apostle to the Gentiles during the Acts period.
- B. --- Stands for one who believes that Peter never was an apostle to the Gentiles.
- B. Dear brother, I understand you teach that Peter is an apostle to the Gentiles. I am wondering why you say this because no writers or believers I know teach this.
- A. Yes, I do believe that Peter is an apostle to the Gentiles—during the Acts period. I agree with you that I also do not know of anyone who teaches this truth. To understand why I believe this, it will be necessary to turn to the Book of Books---The BIBLE.
- B. Very well, we will discuss this but I do not see how you can make a case on this when all the writers of old do not see Peter as an apostle to the Gentiles.
- A. I am not much concerned that the Old Writers didn't see this but I am interested in "what saith the scripture"?

 The first thing that would be needful to establish is, what is an apostle? We get some enlightenment from John 13:16, where one is sent forth with a special message or errand. Many believers wrongfully state that Paul is the apostle to the Gentiles and that the Gentiles have no other apostles during the book of Acts, 2 Corinthians 8:23, Philemon 2:25.
- B. The Bible plainly states at Romans 11:13 that Paul says he is the apostle to the Gentiles.
- A. Yes, dear brother, the King James version does insert the definite article(the) but the preserved text does not show a definite article. This scripture should read "I am an apostle to the Gentiles".