

OUR ANSWER

At various Bible conferences men are standing up and teaching old ideas on the Scripture that have been refuted by Bible scholars in past years. They have taken the liberty of disputing these scholars mainly because these men have died and dead men can't answer back.

It is assumed by the writer that the reader sees the distinct position of the dispensation of the secret which is a put in administration placed into a gap which is not according to prophecy. Eph 3:1-9, Acts 28:28.

In dispute are certain great truths taught by men of old.....

1. There is a hard boundary line at Acts 28:28.
2. The temple distruction at A.D. 70 is not according to prophecy.
3. There is an out resurrection contained within the boundary of this dispensation.

With their criticism of these truths, they say the second coming (parousia) is our hope or somewhere Around that time, either this is true or it is false doctrine.

If it is true that there is no hard boundary line at Acts 28:28, then the church of the secret could fall on either side of Acts 28:28.

Is there scriptual evidence that the Acts dispensation went beyond Acts 28:28? If there is no scriptual evidence of this fact all believers should agree that personal opinion means nothing in the plans of God.

It seems to me that the secret dispensation Eph. 3:1-9 not connected with prophecy of old is under direct attack whereby a prophetic event by the second